

# PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the:  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To:

SPRUSON & FERGUSON  
GPO Box 3898  
SYDNEY NSW 2001

REC'D 24 MAY 2005

WIPO

PCT

PCT

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

(PCT Rule 43bis.1)

Date of mailing  
(day/month/year) 19 MAY 2005

Applicant's or agent's file reference  
707177C

**FOR FURTHER ACTION**  
See paragraph 2 below

International application No.  
**PCT/AU2005/000446**

International filing date (day/month/year)  
29 March 2005

Priority date (day/month/year)  
26 March 2004

International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC

Int. Cl. <sup>7</sup> E01F 9/011, E04C 3/32, E04H 12/08, 12/18, F16M 11/00, 13/00, G08G 1/095, G09F 7/00, 7/18, H02G 7/00

Applicant

ABOOD, Joshua

1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:

- ☒ Box No. I Basis of the opinion
- ☐ Box No. II Priority
- ☐ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
- ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention
- ☒ Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- ☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited
- ☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application
- ☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

### 2. FURTHER ACTION

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the IPEA/AU  
AUSTRALIAN PATENT OFFICE  
PO BOX 200, WODEN ACT 2606, AUSTRALIA  
E-mail address: pct@ipaustalia.gov.au  
Facsimile No. (02) 6285 3929

Authorized Officer

**JASON PREMNATH**  
Telephone No. (02) 6283 2127

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

International application No.

**PCT/AU2005/000446**

**Box No. I      Basis of the opinion**

1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.  
☐ This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language \_\_\_\_\_, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
  - a. type of material  
☐ a sequence listing  
☐ table(s) related to the sequence listing
  - b. format of material  
☐ in written format  
☐ in computer readable form
  - c. time of filing/furnishing  
☐ contained in the international application as filed.  
☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.  
☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3. ☐ In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4. Additional comments:

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

International application No.

**PCT/AU2005/000446**

**Box No. V** Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

**1. Statement**

Novelty (N)	Claims	YES
	Claims 1 - 10	NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	YES
	Claims 1 - 10	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims 1 - 10	YES
	Claims	NO

**2. Citations and explanations:**

**Novelty (N) and Inventive Step (IS)**

The claimed invention is not novel and inventive when compared with the following document mentioned in the International Search Report.

D1) US 5175971

D1 with regard to claim 1 discloses a multifunction pole comprising:

- a) a base assembly (30 in figure 8))
- b) an upper assembly (20 in figure 8)
- c) a beam (230 in figure 6)
- d) an enclosure structure (220 in figure 6)
- e) a track (226 in figure 6)

D1 does not disclose that the enclosure structure is releasably connected to the beam as defined in claim 1. It is considered that this difference is an inessential feature as it does not appear to contribute to the invention.

The additional features mentioned in the claims 2 – 10 are either disclosed in D1 or are considered to be minor variations of the prior art which do not significantly contribute to the working of the invention.

Accordingly claims 1 – 10 are not novel and considered to lack an inventive step.